## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI Northern Division

MISSISSIPPI ASSOCIATION OF EDUCATORS<sup>1</sup>, ET AL,

	Plaintiffs,	Civil No. 3:25cv00417-HTW-LGI
<b>v.</b>		
LYNN FITCH, ET A	L.,	
Defendants.		

## <u>PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO NON-IHL DEFENDANTS' AND</u> ATTORNEY GENERAL'S MOTION TO DISMISS AMENDED COMPLAINT [ECF #39]

Barbara Phillips, James Thomas, Dawn Zimmerer, L.E. Jibol, United Campus Workers Southeast Local 3821, Madisyn Donley, Alexis Cobbs, Karen Aderer, Fostering LGBTQ+ Advocacy, Resources, Environments and Women in Science and Engineering (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), by and through undersigned counsel, file their response to Non-IHL Defendants' and Attorney General's Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint [ECF #39], and in support thereof should as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs have standing as to the non-IHL Defendants because they can establish injury in fact, causation, and redressability.
- 2. Plaintiffs' harms are traceable to the Non-IHL Defendants because they play a role in enforcing the challenged provisions of HB 1193.

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff Mississippi Association of Educators has filed a Motion to be Removed as Plaintiff, Dkt. 45.

- 3. An injunction prohibiting the Non-IHL Defendants from enforcing compliance with the challenged provisions or a declaration that those provisions violate the First and Fourteenth Amendments would satisfy Article III's redressability requirement.
- 4. Plaintiff's claims against the Attorney General fall under the *Ex Parte Young* exception to the State's Eleventh Amendment Immunity because she has some connection with the enforcement of the challenged provisions.
- 5. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate by reference, as if fully and completely set forth herein, the arguments and authorities set forth in the *Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiffs'* Response to Non-IHL Defendants' and Attorney General's Motion to Dismiss [ECF #39] and IHL Defendants' Joinder in Motion to Dismiss [ECF #41], filed contemporaneously herewith.
- 6. Based on the grounds here-asserted as well as those in the above-referenced Memorandum of Law, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss should be denied.

For the reasons cited herein, Plaintiffs respectfully ask this court to enter an order denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

Dated: July 16, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

<u>s/Robert B. McDuff</u>	<u>s/Joshua Tom</u>
ROBERT B. McDuff	JOSHUA TOM
MS Bar No. 2532	MS Bar. No 105392
PALOMA WU	McKenna Raney
MS Bar No. 105464	MS BAR No. 106330
MISSISSIPPI CENTER FOR JUSTICE	Ayanna Hill
210 E. CAPITOL STREET, STE 1800	MS BAR No. 106590
JACKSON, MS 39201	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF
PHONE: (601) 259-8484	MISSISSIPPI FOUNDATION, INC.
RMCDUFF@MSCENTERFORJUSTICE.ORG	P.O. Box 2242
PWU@MSCENTERFORJUSTICE.ORG	JACKSON, MS 39225
	PHONE: (601) 354-3408
AMIR BADAT	JTOM@ACLU-MS.ORG
MS Bar No. 106599	MRANEY@ACLU-MS.ORG
BADAT LEGAL PLLC	AHILL1@ACLU-MS.ORG

P.O. Box 15	
Tougaloo, MS 39174	NICOLAS STANOJEVICH*
PHONE: (601) 462-9592	QUINN, CONNOR, WEAVER, DAVIES & ROUCO
AMIR.BADAT@GMAIL.COM	LLP
	2 20TH STREET NORTH, SUITE 930
	BIRMINGHAM, AL 35203
	PHONE: (205) 870-9989
	NSTANOJEVICH@QCWDR.COM
	*Admitted Pro Hac Vice
	Counsel for Plaintiffs

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that the foregoing has been filed on the ECF system which served all counsel of record on this  $16^{th}$  day of July, 2025.

<u>s/ Joshua Tom</u>Joshua TomCo-counsel for Plaintiffs